Bisphenol A Prevents the Synaptogenic Response to Testosterone in the Brain of Adult Male Rats
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Exposure measurement data from several developed countries indicate that human beings are widely exposed to low levels of the synthetic xenoestrogen, bisphenol A. We reported previously that bisphenol A, even at doses below the reference safe daily limit for human exposure, recommended by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, impairs the synaptogenic response to 17β-estradiol in the hippocampus of ovariectomized rats. Recent experiments revealed that bisphenol A also interferes with androgen receptor-mediated transcriptional activities. Thus, to investigate whether bisphenol A impairs synaptogenesis in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) and hippocampus of adult male rats, castrated and sham-operated animals were treated with different combinations of bisphenol A (300 μg/kg), testosterone propionate (1.5 mg/kg), and sesame oil vehicle. The brains were processed for electron microscopic stereology, and the number of asymmetric spine synapses in the mPFC and CA1 hippocampal area was estimated. In both regions analyzed, bisphenol A reduced the number of spine synapses in sham-operated, gonadally intact animals, which was accompanied by a compensatory increase in astroglia process density. In addition, bisphenol A prevented both the prefrontal and hippocampal synaptogenic response to testosterone supplementation in castrated males. These results demonstrate that bisphenol A interferes with the synaptogenic response to testosterone in the mPFC and hippocampus of adult male rats. Because the hippocampal synaptogenic action of androgens seems to be independent of androgen and estrogen receptors in males, the potential mechanisms that underlie these negative effects of bisphenol A remain the subject of further investigation. (Endocrinology 149: 988–994, 2008)

Since the 1950s, the synthetic xenoestrogen, bisphenol A, has been used in the manufacture of plastics that have a broad range of uses including dental prostheses and sealants (1), the polycarbonate lining of metal cans used to preserve foods (2), and such items as baby bottles (3) and clear plastic cages used in many research institutions to house laboratory animals (4). Bisphenol A is also used as an additive in many products, with a global production rate of more than 6 billion pounds per year. Whereas exposure measurement data from several developed countries, including the United States, consistently indicate that human beings are widely exposed to low levels of bisphenol A, probably on a continuous basis (5), there is considerable debate whether this exposure represents an environmental problem.

The relatively low affinity of bisphenol A for the nuclear estrogen receptors (ERs) and its weak bioactivity in standard tests of estrogenicity (6) initially led to the conclusion that exposure to bisphenol A has negligible biological effects in humans (7). However, recent findings suggest that bisphenol A may interfere with the development, function, and morphology of the brain. We reported earlier that bisphenol A, even at doses below the reference safe daily limit for human exposure, recommended by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), impairs the synaptogenic response to 17β-estradiol in the hippocampus of ovariectomized rats (8, 9). Because remodeling of spine synapses on the dendrites of hippocampal pyramidal cells may contribute to the beneficial effects of estrogens on cognition (10), disruption of estrogen-induced spine synapse formation by bisphenol A may result in cognitive impairments, particularly in ages when estrogen levels are naturally low, such as in postmenopausal women.

Whereas earlier studies focused on the estrogenic properties of bisphenol A, recent experiments revealed that bisphenol A antagonizes androgen receptor (AR)-mediated transcriptional activities (11–14). Because androgens are just as critical in the cognitive functions (15) and synaptogenesis (16–18) in males as estrogens are in females, the potential exists that bisphenol A also interferes with the physiology and morphology of the adult male brain. Thus, the following experiments were performed to investigate whether bisphenol A impairs spine synapse formation in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) and hippocampus of adult male rats, brain areas with crucial influence on cognitive functions.

Materials and Methods

Experimental animals

Male Sprague Dawley rats (280–300 g; Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA) were kept under standard laboratory conditions in a 12-h light,12-h dark cycle, with tap water and regular rat chow available ad libitum. Experiments conformed to international guidelines on the ethical use of animals, and experimental protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Yale University School of Medicine.
Surgery, treatments, and tissue processing

Castration or sham operation was performed on d 1 under deep anesthesia with a ketamine-xylazine mixture (containing 25 mg/ml ketamine, 1.2 mg/ml xylazine, and 0.03 mg/ml acepromazine dissolved in saline; 10 ml/kg). One week later (on d 8), treatments were initiated, consisting of daily sc injections of testosterone propionate (TP), bisphenol A, or the sesame oil vehicle. On d 11, rats were killed under deep ether anesthesia by transcardial perfusion of heparinized saline, followed by a fixative containing 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.1% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.35). Brains were removed and postfixed overnight in the same fixative without glutaraldehyde. Tissue blocks containing the prefrontal and 150 µm-thick coronal vibratome sections were cut and sorted into several groups. Different groups of sections were then further processed for electron microscopy or glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) immunostaining.

Electron microscopic stereology

The total number of asymmetric spine synapses in layer II/III of mPFC as well as the stratum radiatum of the CA1 hippocampal subfield was calculated as published previously (19, 20). Due to the labor-intensive nature of electron microscopic stereology, the analysis was focused on these particular regions because our earlier studies demonstrated strong synaptogenic response to androgens in these areas of the adult male brain (16, 18). First, using embedded sections, the volume of the sampling areas was estimated using the Cavalieri Estimator module of the Stereo Investigator system (MicroBrightField Inc., Williston, VT) mounted on an Axiosplan 2 light microscope (Zeiss, New York, NY). Because the mPFC and its neighboring cortical regions show very limited cytoarchitectonic differences in rodents, the precise anatomical borders of mPFC in rats remain the subject of intensive debate. To address this problem, we determined a sampling area with artificial borders that are related to easily identifiable macroanatomical structures. These borders are described in detail elsewhere (19). Thereafter 20 sampling sites for electron microscopic analysis were localized in both the mPFC and CA1 using a systematic-random approach, as published previously (19, 20), and approximately four 75-nm-thick consecutive ultrasections were cut from each of these sampling sites. Digitized electron micrographs (Fig. 1) were taken from neighboring ultrasections for the physical dissector by a person, who was blind to the treatment of individual animals. The micrographs were taken in a transmission electron microscope (Tecnai 12; FEI Co., Hillsboro, OR) furnished with an HR/HR-B charge-coupled device camera system (Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan); and the pictures were coded for blind analysis. This sampling technique produced 20 dissectors for the mPFC and another 20 dissectors for each brain area by an investigator who was blind to the treatment of individual animals (C.L.). The pictures were printed using a laser printer and coded. The code was not broken until the analysis was completed.

Immunostaining and analysis of astroglia processes

A randomly sampled portion of sections (n = 10 per animal from both the mPFC and hippocampus) was used to analyze astroglia changes. Astrogial was visualized using GFAP immunoperoxidase staining as described earlier (22). Briefly, sections were incubated overnight at room temperature (RT) in monoclonal mouse anti-GFAP (Sigma, St. Louis, MO; 1:4000) dissolved in phosphate buffer containing 2% normal horse serum, followed by biotinylated horse antimouse IgG (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA; 1:250; 2 h at RT), and the ABC Elite kit (Vector Laboratories, 1:500, 2 h at RT). The immunoreaction was visualized using nickel-diaminobenzidine as chromogen (for details see Ref. [22]). The immunostained sections were mounted onto gelatin-coated slides, air dried, cleared in xylenes, and coverslipped with Permount.

Fig. 1. Representative electron micrographs depicting the stratum radiatum of the CA1 hippocampal subfield of a castrated, testosterone-one-supplemented (A) and a castrated, testosterone + bisphenol A-treated (B) rat. Arrows indicate spine synapses. Scale bar, 1 μm.
positive processes clearly in focus with lines of the test grid were counted (for more details on counting see Ref. 22). Counts from each picture were used to calculate mean astroglia process densities for each rat.

Experiment 1

Six rats were sham castrated on d 1 and sorted into two treatment groups: 1) OIL/OIL, 2) BPA/OIL, and 3) BPA/TP. Sixteen rats were sham castrated on d 1 and sorted into four treatment groups: 1) OIL/OIL, 2) OIL/TP, 3) BPA/OIL, and 4) BPA/TP. On d 11, rats were killed and the number of asymmetric spine synapses was obtained from individual animals were used to calculate group means (±sd) for each treatment group. Results were analyzed with one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey’s multiple-comparison test. A criterion for statistical confidence of \( P < 0.05 \) was adopted.

Results

Experiment 1

In sham-operated, gonadally intact animals, exposure to bisphenol A reduced the number of asymmetric spine synapses in layer II/III of mPFC from 4.695 ± 0.589 \times 10^{5} to 2.459 ± 0.432 \times 10^{5} (a 47.6% loss, \( t \) test, \( P < 0.01 \), Fig. 3, upper panel). In case of the hippocampus, bisphenol A administration caused a similar decrease in the number of CA1 spine synapses, from 4.152 ± 0.69 \times 10^{5} to 1.777 ± 0.258 \times 10^{5} (a 57.2% loss, \( t \) test, \( P < 0.02 \) Fig. 3, lower panel). Under the light microscope, typical star-shaped astrocytes were visible. In bisphenol A-treated animals, astrocytes gave rise to richly sprouting bundles of processes with many fine fibers (Fig. 2, upper panel), whereas only shorter processes with less branching were observed in control rats (Fig. 2, upper panel). Even the qualitative comparison of glia patterns between bisphenol A-treated and control animals suggested considerably higher process density in rats that received bisphenol A (Fig. 2). The surface density calculation of astroglia processes confirmed this observation: bisphenol A treatment significantly increased astroglia process density in the mPFC by 50.2%, from 73.667 ± 3.512 intersections per 8000 \( \mu m^{2} \) in control rats to 110.667 ± 4.509 intersections per 8000 \( \mu m^{2} \) in bisphenol A-treated animals (\( t \) test, \( P < 0.001 \), Fig. 4, upper panel) and in the CA1 by 237.7%, from 50.333 ± 5.132 intersections per 8000 \( \mu m^{2} \) in control rats to 170 ± 17.059 intersections per 8000 \( \mu m^{2} \) in bisphenol A-treated animals (\( t \) test, \( P < 0.01 \), Fig. 4, lower panel).
Experiment 2

In castrated animals, one-way ANOVA showed a statistically significant difference in the number of asymmetric spine synapses among treatment groups both in the mPFC ($F_{3,11} = 48.938, P < 0.001$) and the hippocampus ($F_{3,11} = 26.007, P < 0.001$).

In layer II/III of mPFC, castration reduced the number of asymmetric spine synapses, which was reversed by testosterone supplementation ($2.549 \pm 0.155 \times 10^9$ synapses in the OIL/OIL group vs. $4.580 \pm 0.328 \times 10^9$ synapses in the OIL/TP group, Tukey test, $P < 0.001$, Fig. 5, upper panel). Exposure to bisphenol A completely abolished the prefrontal synaptogenic effects of TP because synapse levels in the BPA/TP group were not significantly different from those of the OIL/OIL controls ($2.184 \pm 0.314 \times 10^9$ synapses in the BPA/TP group vs. $4.580 \pm 0.328 \times 10^9$ synapses in the OIL/TP group, Tukey test, $P <
Bisphenol A prevented the response to TP (2.128 ± 0.432 × 10^9 synapses in the BPA/TP group vs. 3.866 ± 0.409 × 10^9 synapses in the OIL/TP group, Tukey test, P < 0.001; and 2.128 ± 0.432 × 10^9 synapses in the BPA/TP group vs. 1.962 ± 0.199 × 10^9 synapses in the OIL/OIL group, Tukey test, P = 0.915; Fig. 5, lower panel), whereas bisphenol A alone showed no effect (1.917 ± 0.105 × 10^9 synapses in the BPA/OIL group vs. 1.962 ± 0.199 × 10^9 synapses in the OIL/OIL group, Tukey test, P = 0.998, Fig. 5, lower panel).

Discussion

These data provide evidence that exposure to bisphenol A results in a severe loss of spine synapses in both the mPFC and hippocampus of adult male rats. This negative effect appears to be the result of bisphenol A-induced impairment in the synaptogenic response to testosterone. In addition, we observed a compensatory astroglia process proliferation that is likely secondary to the loss of spine synapses. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that we observed similar astroglia process proliferation in association with spine synapse loss a week after ovariectomy (22), but there was no change in astroglia process density 4 h after estradiol administration, although robust spine synapse growth occurred in the CA1 at the same time point (24). The present findings are in line with the results of a recent study from our laboratory indicating that bisphenol A even at doses below the reference safe daily limit for human exposure, recommended 50 μg/kg reference safe daily limit, earlier studies found bisphenol A being safe, even at these high doses (7, 25). These observations indicate that hormonally active chemicals may exhibit radically different potencies in different bioassay systems, making it difficult to assess their potentially harmful effects (26, 27). It also has to be noted that our studies analyzed the effects of bisphenol A against nearly maximum hormonal stimulation of spine synapse growth, which usually occurs only during adult life. In periods of life when gonadal steroid levels are naturally low, e.g., in aging, exposure to lower doses of bisphenol A found in the environment may be sufficient to significantly disturb gonadal steroid-induced remodeling of spine synapses.

The neuronal effects of gonadal steroids are not only confined to the control of reproductive functions but also include a wide range of influence on the ability of neurons to develop, adapt, and survive under constantly changing conditions (28, 29). The effects are particularly pronounced in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus, brain areas that are critically involved in cognition and mood. In adulthood, both of these areas retain the potential for considerable plasticity in response to changing levels of circulating gonadal steroids. This was first recognized in studies on the cyclical alterations of hippocampal function
that occurs during the female reproductive cycle (30). Subsequent extensive work has demonstrated that both estrogen and androgen administration reverses the loss of CA1 spine synapses observed after gonadectomy in both male and female rodents and nonhuman primates (10, 16, 17, 31, 32). Recently similar structural responses to estrogens have been observed in the mPFC of ovariectomized rats (33) and the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex of monkeys (34, 35). Our laboratory has also shown asymmetric spine synapse formation induced by both estradiol and androgens in the mPFC of male rats (18). These studies clearly demonstrate that gonadal steroids have a high potential to regulate the remodeling of prefrontal and hippocampal asymmetric spine synapses.

The potential significance of structural synaptic plasticity is derived from the hypothesis that rapid remodeling of dendritic spines and their synapses may represent a morphological substrate of learning and memory (36, 37). Thus, it is logical to hypothesize that synapse formation on the dendritic spines of prefrontal and hippocampal pyramidal cells may contribute to the beneficial effects of gonadal steroids on cognition (15, 38, 39). Our earlier data reinforce the fact that there is an excellent correlation between the positive cognitive and synaptic effects of gonadal steroids, even in extreme experimental conditions (8, 40). Based on this correlation, the demonstrated interference of bisphenol A with prefrontal and hippocampal spine synapse formation may thus result in disturbed cognitive function. Indeed, several studies have shown that bisphenol A perturbs the development of nonreproductive behaviors, such as play and maze-learning behavior in both female and male rodents (41–44), the effects being diametrically opposite to what would be predicted for a xenobiotic estrogen but in line with our findings of spine synapse loss. Recently we hypothesized that remodeling of hippocampal spine synapses may play a critical role in not only cognition but also the mechanisms of depression and antidepressant response (45, 46). According to this hypothesis, exposure to bisphenol A and the resulting loss of hippocampal spine synapses may elicit depression-like behavior. Although there are limited data available, a couple of studies demonstrated that bisphenol A indeed promotes helpless behavior in the learned helplessness paradigm (47) and increases immobility in the forced swim test (48), signs of depression-like behavior in two widely accepted animal models of depression.

An interesting aspect of the present findings is the potential mechanism that underlies the negative effects of bisphenol A on androgen-induced spine synapse growth. Several studies demonstrated that bisphenol A impairs both ER- and AR-mediated activities (12–14, 49), suggesting that bisphenol A acts at the level of gonadal steroid receptors. This may explain the interference of bisphenol A with prefrontal synaptogenesis in males because spine synapse remodeling in the male mPFC induced by androgens could be mediated by both the ER (via conversion of the androgens to estrogenic compounds) and the AR (18). However, extensive work from our laboratory indicates that the synaptogenic action of androgens is independent of both the nuclear ER (16) and AR (20, 50) in the male hippocampus, raising the possibility that bisphenol A does not interfere with gonadal steroid receptor functions, at least in the male hippocampus. A potential explanation could be that bisphenol A directly targets intracellular mechanisms downstream of the receptors, which are involved in the remodeling of hippocampal spine synapses, such as the ERK and Akt pathways. The ERK1/2 pathway is known to be activated by both estrogens (49, 51) and androgens (52, 53), and it plays a critical role in both synaptic remodeling (54, 55) and cognitive functions (56, 57). The phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/protein kinase B (Akt) pathway is also implicated in downstream signaling of the ER (58). There is evidence that androgens induce Akt phosphorylation in nonneural tissue (59) and that Akt is involved in spine growth (60). Unfortunately, very limited data are currently available about the effects of bisphenol A on intracellular signaling mechanisms (49), and more research is needed to clarify this issue. One of the first steps may be investigating whether the negative synaptogenic effect of bisphenol A is competitively reversible by higher doses of testosterone to reveal the potential role of gonadal hormone receptors. However, due to the labor-intensive nature of electron microscopic stereology, this analysis will be the subject of future studies.

In conclusion, exposure to bisphenol A results in a severe loss of spine synapses in both the mPFC and hippocampus of adult male rats. Previous studies have reported that bisphenol A elicits impaired cognition and disorders of mood, which could be explained, at least partially, by the observed loss of asymmetric spine synapses. However, the potential molecular mechanisms that underlie these negative effects remain the subject of further investigation.
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