FREE NEJM E-TOC HOME | SUBSCRIBE | CURRENT ISSUE | PAST ISSUES | COLLECTIONS | Keyword, citation, or author

HLTH SCIENCE INFO CONSORTIUM OF TORONTO | Get NEJM's E-Mail Table of Contents - FREE | Sign In as Individual | Contact Administrator

EDITORIAL

Previous Volume 337:847-849 <u>September 18, 1997</u> Number 12 <u>Next</u>

The Ethics of Clinical Research in the Third World

An essential ethical condition for a randomized clinical trial comparing two treatments for a disease is that there be no good reason for thinking one is better than the other. Let usually, investigators hope and even expect that the new treatment will be better, but there should not be solid evidence one way or the other. If there is, not only would the trial be scientifically redundant, but the investigators would be guilty of knowingly giving inferior treatment to some participants in the trial. The necessity for investigators to be in this state of equipoise applies to placebo-controlled trials, as well. Only when there is no known effective treatment is it ethical to compare a potential new treatment with a placebo. When effective treatment exists, a placebo may not be used. Instead, subjects in the control group of the study must receive the best known treatment.

Investigators are responsible for all subjects enrolled in a trial, not just some of them, and the goals of the research are always secondary to the well-being of the participants. Those requirements are made clear in the Declaration of Helsinki of the World

TOOLS & SERVICES

Add to Personal Archive
Add to Citation Manager
Notify a Friend
E-mail When Cited
MORE INFORMATION
PubMed Citation

COMMENTARY

Health Organization (WHO), which is widely regarded as providing the fundamental guiding principles of research involving human subjects. It states, "In research on man [sic], the interest of science and society should never take precedence over considerations related to the wellbeing of the subject," and "In any medical study, every patient — including those of a control group, if any — should be assured of the best proven diagnostic and therapeutic method."

One reason ethical codes are unequivocal about investigators' primary obligation to care for the human subjects of their research is the strong temptation to subordinate the subjects' welfare to the objectives of the study. That is particularly likely when the research question is extremely important and the answer would probably improve the care of future patients substantially. In those circumstances, it is sometimes argued explicitly that obtaining a rapid, unambiguous answer to the research question is the primary ethical obligation. With the most altruistic of motives, then, researchers may find themselves slipping across a line that prohibits treating human subjects as means to an end. When that line is crossed, there is very little left to protect patients from a callous disregard of their welfare for the sake of research goals. Even informed consent, important though it is, is not protection enough, because of the asymmetry in knowledge and authority between researchers and their subjects. And approval by an institutional review board, though also important, is highly variable in its responsiveness to patients' interests when they conflict with the interests of researchers.

A textbook example of unethical research is the Tuskegee Study of Untreated Syphilis. In that study, which was sponsored by the U.S. Public Health Service and lasted from 1932 to 1972, 412 poor African-American men with untreated syphilis were followed and compared with 204 men free of the disease to determine the natural history of syphilis. Although there was no very good treatment available at the time the study began (heavy metals were the standard treatment), the research continued even after penicillin became widely available and was known to be highly effective against syphilis. The study was not terminated until it came to the attention of a reporter and the outrage provoked by front-page stories in the *Washington Star* and *New York Times* embarrassed the Nixon administration into calling a halt to it. The ethical violations were multiple: Subjects did not provide informed consent (indeed, they were deliberately deceived); they were denied the best known treatment; and the study was continued even after highly effective treatment became available. And what were the arguments in favor of the Tuskegee study? That these poor African-American men probably would not have been treated anyway, so the investigators were merely observing what would have happened if there were no study; and that the study was important (a "never-to-be-repeated opportunity," said one physician after penicillin became available). Ethical concern was even stood on its head when it was suggested that not only was the information valuable, but it was especially so for people like the subjects — an impoverished rural population with a very high rate of untreated syphilis. The only lament seemed to be that many of the subjects inadvertently received treatment by other doctors.

Some of these issues are raised by Lurie and Wolfe elsewhere in this issue of the *Journal*. They discuss the ethics of ongoing trials in the Third World of regimens to prevent the vertical transmission of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. All except one of the trials employ placebo-treated control groups, despite the fact that zidovudine has already been clearly shown to cut the rate of vertical transmission greatly and is now recommended in the United States for all HIV-infected pregnant women. The justifications are reminiscent of those for the Tuskegee study: Women in the Third World would not receive antiretroviral treatment anyway, so the investigators are simply observing what would happen to the subjects' infants if there were no study. And a placebo-controlled study is the fastest, most efficient way to obtain unambiguous information that will be of greatest value in the Third World. Thus, in response to protests from Wolfe and others to the secretary of Health and Human Services, the directors of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) — the organizations sponsoring the studies — argued, "It is an unfortunate fact that the current standard of perinatal care for the HIV-infected pregnant women in the sites of the studies does not include any HIV prophylactic intervention at all," and the inclusion of placebo controls "will result in the most rapid, accurate, and reliable answer to the question of the value of the intervention being studied compared to the local

standard of care."8

Also in this issue of the *Journal*, Whalen et al. report the results of a clinical trial in Uganda of various regimens of prophylaxis against tuberculosis in HIV-infected adults, most of whom had positive tuberculin skin tests. This study, too, employed a placebo-treated control group, and in some ways it is analogous to the studies criticized by Lurie and Wolfe. In the United States it would probably be impossible to carry out such a study, because of long-standing official recommendations that HIV-infected persons with positive tuberculin skin tests receive prophylaxis against tuberculosis. The first was issued in 1990 by the CDC's Advisory Committee for Elimination of Tuberculosis. It stated that tuberculin-test-positive persons with HIV infection "should be considered candidates for preventive therapy." Three years later, the recommendation was reiterated more strongly in a joint statement by the American Thoracic Society and the CDC, in collaboration with the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the American Academy of Pediatrics. According to this statement, "... the identification of persons with dual infection and the administration of preventive therapy to these persons is of great importance."

However, some believe that these recommendations were premature, since they were based largely on the success of prophylaxis in HIV-negative persons.

Whether the study by Whalen et al. was ethical depends, in my view, entirely on the strength of the preexisting evidence. Only if there was genuine doubt about the benefits of prophylaxis would a placebo group be ethically justified. This is not the place to review the scientific evidence, some of which is discussed in the editorial of Msamanga and Fawzi elsewhere in this issue. Suffice it to say that the case is debatable. Msamanga and Fawzi conclude that "future studies should not include a placebo group, since preventive therapy should be considered the standard of care." I agree. The difficult question is whether there should have been a placebo group in the first place.

Although I believe an argument can be made that a placebo-controlled trial was ethically justifiable because it was still uncertain whether prophylaxis would work, it should not be argued that it was ethical because no prophylaxis is the "local standard of care" in sub-Saharan Africa. For reasons discussed by Lurie and Wolfe, that reasoning is badly flawed. As mentioned earlier, the Declaration of Helsinki requires control groups to receive the "best" current treatment, not the local one. The shift in wording between "best" and "local" may be slight, but the implications are profound. Acceptance of this ethical relativism could result in widespread exploitation of vulnerable Third World populations for research programs that could not be carried out in the sponsoring country. Furthermore, it directly contradicts the Department of Health and Human Services' own regulations governing U.S.-sponsored research in foreign countries, sa well as joint guidelines for research in the Third World issued by WHO and the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences, which require that human subjects receive protection at least equivalent to that in the sponsoring country. The fact that Whalen et al. offered isoniazid to the placebo group when it was found superior to placebo indicates that they were aware of their responsibility to all the subjects in the trial.

The *Journal* has taken the position that it will not publish reports of unethical research, regardless of their scientific merit. 14.17 After deliberating at length about the study by Whalen at al., the editors concluded that publication was ethically justified, although there remain differences among us. The fact that the subjects gave informed consent and the study was approved by the institutional review board at the University Hospitals of Cleveland and Case Western Reserve University and by the Ugandan National AIDS Research Subcommittee certainly supported our decision but did not allay all our misgivings. It is still important to determine whether clinical studies are consistent with preexisting, widely accepted ethical guidelines, such as the Declaration of Helsinki, and with federal regulations, since they cannot be influenced by pressures specific to a particular study.

Quite apart from the merits of the study by Whalen et al., there is a larger issue. There appears to be a general retreat from the clear principles enunciated in the Nuremberg Code and the Declaration of Helsinki as applied to research in the Third World. Why is that? Is it because the "local standard of care" is different? I don't think so. In my view, that is merely a self-serving justification after the fact. Is it because diseases and their treatments are very different in the Third World, so that information gained in the industrialized world has no relevance and we have to start from scratch? That, too, seems an unlikely explanation, although here again it is often offered as a justification. Sometimes there may be relevant differences between populations, but that cannot be assumed. Unless there are specific indications to the contrary, the safest and most reasonable position is that people everywhere are likely to respond similarly to the same treatment.

I think we have to look elsewhere for the real reasons. One of them may be a slavish adherence to the tenets of clinical trials. According to these, all trials should be randomized, double-blind, and placebo-controlled, if at all possible. That rigidity may explain the NIH's pressure on Marc Lallemant to include a placebo group in his study, as described by Lurie and Wolfe. Sometimes journals are blamed for the problem, because they are thought to demand strict conformity to the standard methods. That is not true, at least not at this journal. We do not want a scientifically neat study if it is ethically flawed, but like Lurie and Wolfe we believe that in many cases it is possible, with a little ingenuity, to have both scientific and ethical rigor.

The retreat from ethical principles may also be explained by some of the exigencies of doing clinical research in an increasingly regulated and competitive environment. Research in the Third World looks relatively attractive as it becomes better funded and regulations at home become more restrictive. Despite the existence of codes requiring that human subjects receive at least the same protection abroad as at home, they are still honored partly in the breach. The fact remains that many studies are done in the Third World that simply could not be done in the countries sponsoring the work. Clinical trials have become a big business, with many of the same imperatives. To survive, it is necessary to get the work done as quickly as possible, with a minimum of obstacles. When these considerations prevail, it seems as if we have not come very far from Tuskegee after all. Those of us in the research community need to redouble our commitment to the highest ethical standards, no matter where the research is conducted, and sponsoring agencies need to enforce those standards, not undercut them.

Marcia Angell, M.D.

COMMENTARY

TOOLS & SERVICES

MORE INFORMATION

Add to Personal Archive

Add to Citation Manager

Notify a FriendE-mail When Cited

PubMed Citation

Letters

References

- 1. Angell M. Patients' preferences in randomized clinical trials. N Engl J Med 1984;310:1385-1387. [Medline]
- Freedman B. Equipoise and the ethics of clinical research. N Engl J Med 1987;317:141-145. [Abstract]
- 3. Declaration of Helsinki IV, 41st World Medical Assembly, Hong Kong, September 1989. In: Annas GJ, Grodin MA, eds. The Nazi doctors and the Nuremberg Code: human rights in human experimentation. New York: Oxford University Press, 1992:339-42.
- 4. Twenty years after: the legacy of the Tuskegee syphilis study. Hastings Cent Rep 1992;22:29-40. [CrossRef][Medline]
- 5. Caplan AL. When evil intrudes. Hastings Cent Rep 1992;22:29-32.
- 6. The development of consent requirements in research ethics. In: Faden RR, Beauchamp TL. A history and theory of informed consent. New York: Oxford University Press, 1986:151-99.
- 7. Lurie P, Wolfe SM. Unethical trials of interventions to reduce perinatal transmission of the human immunodeficiency virus in developing countries. N Engl J Med 1997;337:853-856. [Free Full Text]
- 8. The conduct of clinical trials of maternal-infant transmission of HIV supported by the United States Department of Health and Human Services in developing countries. Washington, D.C.: Department of Health and Human Services, July 1997.
- 9. Whalen CC, Johnson JL, Okwera A, et al. A trial of three regimens to prevent tuberculosis in Ugandan adults infected with the human immunodeficiency virus. N Engl J Med 1997;337:801-808. [Free Full Text]
- The use of preventive therapy for tuberculous infection in the United States: recommendations of the Advisory Committee for Elimination of Tuberculosis. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1990;39:9-12. [Medline]
- 11. Bass JB Jr, Farer LS, Hopewell PC, et al. Treatment of tuberculosis and tuberculosis infection in adults and children. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1994;149:1359-1374. [Abstract]
- 12. De Cock KM, Grant A, Porter JD. Preventive therapy for tuberculosis in HIV-infected persons: international recommendations, research, and practice. Lancet 1995;345:833-836. [CrossRef][Medline]
- 13. Msamanga GI, Fawzi WW. The double burden of HIV infection and tuberculosis in sub-Saharan Africa. N Engl J Med 1997;337:849-851. [Free Full Text]
- 14. Angell M. Ethical imperialism? Ethics in international collaborative clinical research. N Engl J Med 1988;319:1081-1083. [Medline]
- 15. Protection of human subjects, 45 CFR § 46 (1996).
- International ethical guidelines for biomedical research involving human subjects. Geneva: Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences, 1993.
- 17. Angell M. The Nazi hypothermia experiments and unethical research today. N Engl J Med 1990;322:1462-1464. [Medline]

Related Letters:

Questions about a Placebo-Controlled Trial of Preventive Therapy for Tuberculosis in HIV-Infected Ugandans

Desvarieux M., Turner M. T., Whalen C. C., Johnson J. L., Mugerwa R. D., Ellner J. J., Msamanga G., Angell M. Extract | Full Text

N Engl J Med 1998; 338:841-843, Mar 19, 1998. Correspondence

This article has been cited by other articles:

- Van Howe, R. S., Svoboda, J. S. (2008). Neonatal Pain Relief and the Helsinki Declaration. J Law Med Ethics 36: 803-823
- Buchanan, D., Sifunda, S., Naidoo, N., James, S., Reddy, P. (2008). Assuring Adequate Protections in International Health Research: A Principled Justification and Practical Recommendations for the Role of Community Oversight. *Public Health Ethics* 1: 246-257 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Epstein, S. (2008). The Rise of `Recruitmentology': Clinical Research, Racial Knowledge, and the Politics of Inclusion and Difference. *Social Studies of Science* 38: 801-832 [Abstract]
- Shah, S, Wu, T (2008). The medical student global health experience: professionalism and ethical implications. J. Med. Ethics 34: 375-378 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Tausig, M., Subedi, S., Subedi, J. (2007). The bioethics of medical research in very poor countries. Health (London) 11: 145-161 [Abstract]
- Buchanan, D R, Miller, F G (2006). A public health perspective on research ethics. J. Med. Ethics 32: 729-733 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Kennedy, S B, Harris, A O, Oudemans, E, Young, L, Kollie, J, Nelson, E S, Nisbett, R A, Morris, C, Bartee, N, George-Williams, E, Jones, J (2006). Developing capacity to protect human research subjects in a post-conflict, resource-constrained setting: procedures and prospects.. *J. Med. Ethics* 32: 592-595 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Buchanan, D. R., Miller, F. G. (2006). Justice and Fairness in the Kennedy Krieger Institute Lead Paint Study: the Ethics of Public Health Research on Less Expensive, Less Effective Interventions. Am. J. Public Health 96: 781-787 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Shaffer, D N, Yebei, V N, Ballidawa, J B, Sidle, J E, Greene, J Y, Meslin, E M, Kimaiyo, S J N, Tierney, W M (2006). Equitable treatment for HIV/AIDS clinical trial participants: a focus group study of patients, clinician researchers, and administrators in western Kenya. *J. Med. Ethics* 32: 55-60 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Fischer, B. A IV (2006). A Summary of Important Documents in the Field of Research Ethics. Schizophr Bull 32: 69-80 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Riessman, C. K. (2005). Exporting ethics: a narrative about narrative research in South India. Health (London) 9: 473-490 [Abstract]
- Stern, A. M., Markel, H. (2005). The History Of Vaccines And Immunization: Familiar Patterns, New Challenges. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 24: 611-621

 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Milstien, J., Cash, R. A., Wecker, J., Wikler, D. (2005). Development Of Priority Vaccines For Disease-Endemic Countries: Risk And Benefit. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 24: 718-728 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Harris, J. (2005). Scientific research is a moral duty. J. Med. Ethics 31: 242-248 [Full Text]
- Bhutta, Z. (2004). Standards of care in research. BMJ 329: 1114-1115 [Full Text]
- Kent, D. M., Mwamburi, D. M., Bennish, M. L., Kupelnick, B., Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2004). Clinical Trials in Sub-Saharan Africa and Established Standards of Care: A Systematic Review of HIV, Tuberculosis, and Malaria Trials. *JAMA* 292: 237-242 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Wendler, D., Emanuel, E. J., Lie, R. K. (2004). The Standard of Care Debate: Can Research in Developing Countries Be Both Ethical and Responsive

3 of 5

- to Those Countries' Health Needs?. Am. J. Public Health 94: 923-928 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Farmer, P., Campos, N. G. (2004). New Malaise: Bioethics and Human Rights in the Global Era. J Law Med Ethics 32: 243-251
- Kent, D. M., Fendrick, A. M., Langa, K. M. (2004). New and Dis-Improved: On the Evaluation and Use of Less Effective, Less Expensive Medical Interventions. *Med Decis Making* 24: 281-286 [Abstract]
- Lie, R K, Emanuel, E, Grady, C, Wendler, D (2004). The standard of care debate: the Declaration of Helsinki versus the international consensus opinion. J. Med. Ethics 30: 190-193 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- McMillan, J R, Conlon, C (2004). The ethics of research related to health care in developing countries. J. Med. Ethics 30: 204-206
 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Miller, F. G., Silverman, H. J. (2004). The Ethical Relevance of the Standard of Care in the Design of Clinical Trials. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 169: 562-564 [Full Text]
- Levine, C., Faden, R., Grady, C., Hammerschmidt, D., Eckenwiler, L., Sugarman, J., on behalf of the Consortium to Examine Clinical Re, (2004).
 "Special Scrutiny": A Targeted Form of Research Protocol Review. ANN INTERN MED 140: 220-223 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Hyder, A A, Wali, S A, Khan, A N, Teoh, N B, Kass, N E, Dawson, L (2004). Ethical review of health research: a perspective from developing country researchers. J. Med. Ethics 30: 68-72 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Burris, S., Buehler, J., Lazzarini, Z. (2003). Applying the Common Rule to Public Health Agencies: Questions and Tentative Answers About a Separate Regulatory Regime. *J Law Med Ethics* 31: 638-653
- Wong, T Y, Tan, D T (2003). The SERI-ARVO Meeting and future challenges of ophthalmic research in Asia. *Br. J. Ophthalmol*. 87: 379-380 [Full Text]
- Carpenter, W. T. Jr., Appelbaum, P. S., Levine, R. J. (2003). The Declaration of Helsinki and Clinical Trials: A Focus on Placebo-Controlled Trials in Schizophrenia. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 160: 356-362 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Caballero, B. (2002). Ethical issues for collaborative research in developing countries. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 76: 717-720 [Full Text]
- Palmer, C R (2002). Ethics, data-dependent designs, and the strategy of clinical trials: time to start learning-as-we-go?. Stat Methods Med Res 11: 381-402 [Abstract]
- Zlotkin, S., Arthur, P. (2002). Reply to NW Solomons and K Schumann. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 76: 693-694 [Full Text]
- Orentlicher, D. (2002). Universality and its Limits: When Research Ethics Can Reflect Local Circumstances. J Law Med Ethics 30: 403-410
- London, L. (2002). Ethical Oversight of Public Health Research: Can Rules and IRBs Make a Difference in Developing Countries?. Am. J. Public Health 92: 1079-1084 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Mill, J. E., Ogilvie, L. D. (2002). Ethical Decision Making in International Nursing Research. Qual Health Res 12: 807-815 [Abstract]
- Fleischer, T. (2002). Principles of Biomedical Ethics. JAMA 287: 1582-1583 [Full Text]
- Zumla, A., Costello, A. (2002). Ethics of healthcare research in developing countries. JRSM 95: 275-276 [Full Text]
- CAPLAN, LR (2001). Evidence based medicine: concerns of a clinical neurologist. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 71: 569-574 [Full Text]
- Shapiro, H. T., Meslin, E. M. (2001). Ethical Issues in the Design and Conduct of Clinical Trials in Developing Countries. *NEJM* 345: 139-142 [Full Text]
- Roth, D. E. (2001). Humanitarian aid and medical research: an illusion of dichotomy in international health. CMAJ 164: 1862-1864 [Full Text]
- Benatar, S. R, Singer, P. A (2000). A new look at international research ethics. BMJ 321: 824-826 [Full Text]
- Costello, A., Zumla, A. (2000). Moving to research partnerships in developing countries. BMJ 321: 827-829 [Full Text]
- Temple, R., Ellenberg, S. S. (2000). Placebo-Controlled Trials and Active-Control Trials in the Evaluation of New Treatments. Part 1: Ethical and Scientific Issues. ANN INTERN MED 133: 455-463 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Ellenberg, S. S., Temple, R. (2000). Placebo-Controlled Trials and Active-Control Trials in the Evaluation of New Treatments. Part 2: Practical Issues and Specific Cases. ANN INTERN MED 133: 464-470 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Weijer, C. (2000). The future of research into rotavirus vaccine. BMJ 321: 525-526 [Full Text]
- Rothman, K. J, Michels, K. B, Baum, M. (2000). For and against: Declaration of Helsinki should be strengthened FOR AGAINST Rothman and Michels' riposte. BMJ 321: 442-445 [Full Text]
- Loue, S., Okello, D. (2000). Research Bioethics in the Ugandan Context II: Procedural and Substantive Reform. J Law Med Ethics 28: 165-173
- Hutton, J L (2000). Ethics of medical research in developing countries: the role of international codes of conduct. Stat Methods Med Res 9: 185-206

 [Abstract]
- Emanuel, E. J., Wendler, D., Grady, C. (2000). What Makes Clinical Research Ethical?. JAMA 283: 2701-2711 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Preston, R. A., Materson, B. J., Reda, D. J., Williams, D. W. (2000). Placebo-Associated Blood Pressure Response and Adverse Effects in the Treatment of Hypertension: Observations From a Department of Veterans Affairs Cooperative Study. Arch Intern Med 160: 1449-1454
 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Angell, M. (2000). Investigators' Responsibilities for Human Subjects in Developing Countries. NEJM 342: 967-969 [Full Text]
- White, R. M. (2000). Unraveling the Tuskegee Study of Untreated Syphilis. Arch Intern Med 160: 585-598 [Full Text]
- Macklin, R. (1999). The Ethical Problems with Sham Surgery in Clinical Research. NEJM 341: 992-996 [Full Text]
- Edejer, T. T.-T. (1999). North-South research partnerships: the ethics of carrying out research in developing countries. BMJ 319: 438-441 [Full Text]
- Brennan, T. A. (1999). Proposed Revisions to the Declaration of Helsinki -- Will They Weaken the Ethical Principles Underlying Human Research?. NEJM 341: 527-531 [Full Text]
- Levine, R. J. (1999). The Need to Revise the Declaration of Helsinki. NEJM 341: 531-534 [Full Text]
- Muntaner, C. (1999). Teaching social inequalities in health: barriers and opportunities. Scand J Public Health 27: 161-165 [Abstract]
- Kassirer, J. P. (1999). Should Medical Journals Try to Influence Political Debates?. NEJM 340: 466-467 [Full Text]
- Misbin, R. I. (1999). Comment on the Ethics of Placebo-Controlled Trials in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 84: 823-823 [Full Text]
- McIntosh, K. (1998). Short (And Shorter) Courses of Zidovudine. NEJM 339: 1467-1468 Full Text]
- GOMOLLON, F (1998). Guidance for trials in Helicobacter pylori infection. Gut 43: 728d-728 [Full Text]
- Karlawish, J. H. T., Whitehouse, P. J. (1998). Is the Placebo Control Obsolete in a World After Donepezil and Vitamin E?. Arch Neurol 55: 1420-1424

 [Abstract] [Full Text]
- Desvarieux, M., Turner, M. T., Whalen, C. C., Johnson, J. L., Mugerwa, R. D., Ellner, J. J., Msamanga, G., Angell, M. (1998). Questions about a Placebo-Controlled Trial of Preventive Therapy for Tuberculosis in HIV-Infected Ugandans. *NEJM* 338: 841-843 [Full Text]
- Edi-Osagie, E C O, Edi-Osagie, N E, Lurie, P., Wolfe, S. M, Burdon, J., Baraza, R., Landray, M. J, Halsey, N. A, Sommer, A., Black, R. E, Godfrey-Faussett, P., Mwinga, A., Hosp, M., Baggaley, R., Quigley, M., Porter, J. (1998). Ethics and international research. *BMJ* 316: 625a-625 [Full Text]
- (1997). Tuberculosis Prophylaxis in Africa and Clinical Trials in Developing Countries. AIDS Clin Care 1997: 5-5 [Full Text]

- Halsey, N. A, Sommer, A., Henderson, D. A, Black, R. E (1997). Ethics and international research. BMJ 315: 965-966 [Full Text]
- Varmus, H., Satcher, D. (1997). Ethical Complexities of Conducting Research in Developing Countries. NEJM 337: 1003-1005 [Full Text]

HOME | SUBSCRIBE | SEARCH | CURRENT ISSUE | PAST ISSUES | COLLECTIONS | PRIVACY | HELP | beta.nejm.org

Comments and questions? Please contact us.

The New England Journal of Medicine is owned, published, and copyrighted © 2009 Massachusetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.