
Lecture 27: Introduction to Correlated Binary Data

Dipankar Bandyopadhyay, Ph.D.

BMTRY 711: Analysis of Categorical Data Spring 2011

Division of Biostatistics and Epidemiology

Medical University of South Carolina

Introduction

- Previously, we discussed correlated data in the context of a matched pair design
- Correlated data are common in
 1. Longitudinal studies: same subject followed over time.
 2. Cluster randomized trials: Treatment is assigned to groups, the members within a group tend to be correlated responses
 3. Family studies: individuals within a family are more similar (genetically and environmentally)
- While we could have spent a semester on this topic alone, we will briefly move through a methods-driven examination

Motivating Data

- We will look at the “Six Cities” study of the health effects of air pollution (Ware et al. 1984).
- The data analyzed are the 16 selected cases in Lipsitz, Fitzmaurice, et al. (1994).
- The binary response is the wheezing status of 16 children at ages 9, 10, 11, and 12 years.
- The probability of wheezing at each age is to be modeled as a logistic regression model using the explanatory variables city of residence, age, and maternal smoking status at the particular age.

General summary points to consider

- Data between subjects are assumed to be independent
- Data within a subject are assumed to be dependent
- The dependence is modeled as a covariance (or correlation) pattern

Covariance Patterns

You will learn more about covariance patterns models in Multivariate Analysis, for now, consider the following structures:

- Compound Symmetry (or exchangeable): Correlation is the same for all outcomes within a subject (i.e., the $\text{corr}(y_{ij}, y_{ik}) = \rho, \forall j \neq k$ and the $\text{corr}(y_{ij}, y_{i'k}) = 0, \forall i \neq i'$)
- Unstructured (i.e., $\text{corr}(y_{ij}, y_{ik}) = \rho_{jk}$)

The compound symmetry model is a good place to begin.

- You estimate the fewest number of correlation parameters
- Compound symmetry is often used in sample size calculations
- re:example -The binary responses (there are 4 of these, one for each age) for individual children are assumed to be equally correlated, implying an exchangeable correlation structure.

Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE)

- GEE is a generalized form of a GLM
- GEE differs from a GLM in that the distribution of the outcome is not completely specified
- GEE is known as a marginal model. A marginal model is appropriate when inference on group effects (population effects) is of interest. Group effects may include a "treatment" effect.
- Solutions are obtained by the estimating equations (AKA as score equations), which for exponential class variables, can be written as

$$S(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial E(Y_i|X_i)}{\partial \beta} \left[\frac{Y_i - E(Y_i|X_i)}{\text{Var}(Y_i|X_i)} \right]$$

The estimation of the variance-covariance matrix is more complicated, and the solutions are obtained iteratively. For the purpose of this class, let's rely on GENMOD for the calculations.

Notation

Before we develop our model, let's formalize some more notation.

Notation

- $i = 1, \dots, N$ subjects
- $j = 1, \dots, t_i$ observations (I like t to represent time, others may use n_i)
- $\mathbf{Y}_i = [y_{i1}, y_{i2}, \dots, y_{it_i}]'$ is the $t_i \times 1$ vector of responses for subject i

y_{i1} is the response for subject i at time 1

y_{i2} is the response for subject i at time 2

etc. (recall t_i is the maximum observed time for subject i – this does not have to be the same for all subjects)

For our example, $t_i = 4 \quad \forall i$

Covariate notation

- $\mathbf{x}_{ij} = [x_{ij1}, x_{ij2}, \dots, x_{ijp}]'$ is the $p \times 1$ covariate vector for the subject i at time j
- $\mathbf{X}_i = [\mathbf{x}_{i1}, \mathbf{x}_{i2}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{it_i}]'$ is the $t_i \times p$ matrix of covariates for subject i
- β is the $p \times 1$ vector of true population parameters

NOTES:

Covariates are typically static (same at all time measurements, e.g., gender, race, etc) or time-dependent (change over time, e.g., drug dose, smoking status, etc.)

This notation accounts for the characteristics.

Our data

```
data six;
  input case city$ @@;  <--- Time independent covariates
  do i=1 to 4; <-- "time"
    input age smoke wheeze @@; <-- time dependent
    output;
  end;
datalines;
  1 portage 9 0 1 10 0 1 11 0 1 12 0 0
  2 kingston 9 1 1 10 2 1 11 2 0 12 2 0
  3 kingston 9 0 1 10 0 0 11 1 0 12 1 0
  4 portage 9 0 0 10 0 1 11 0 1 12 1 0
  5 kingston 9 0 0 10 1 0 11 1 0 12 1 0
  6 portage 9 0 0 10 1 0 11 1 0 12 1 0
  7 kingston 9 1 0 10 1 0 11 0 0 12 0 0
  8 portage 9 1 0 10 1 0 11 1 0 12 2 0
  9 portage 9 2 1 10 2 0 11 1 0 12 1 0
  10 kingston 9 0 0 10 0 0 11 0 0 12 1 0
  11 kingston 9 1 1 10 0 0 11 0 1 12 0 1
  12 portage 9 1 0 10 0 0 11 0 0 12 0 0
  13 kingston 9 1 0 10 0 1 11 1 1 12 1 1
  14 portage 9 1 0 10 2 0 11 1 0 12 2 1
  15 kingston 9 1 0 10 1 0 11 1 0 12 2 1
  16 portage 9 1 1 10 1 1 11 2 0 12 1 0
;
```

run;

Ignoring Clustering

- Here, we have 4 observations per individual
- What happens if we assume we have 64 independent observations? (4 outcomes per 16 people)
- Here is the code:

```
proc genmod data=six desc;  
  class case city ;  
  model wheeze = city age smoke / dist=bin;  
run;
```

Selected Results

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.SIX
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	Logit
Dependent Variable	wheeze

Number of Observations Read	64	
Number of Observations Used	64	<--This is saying our N
Number of Events	19	is 64 (we only have 16
Number of Trials	64	participants)

Analysis Of Parameter Estimates

Parameter		DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept		1	1.2597	2.6104	0.6294
city	kingston	1	0.1391	0.5527	0.8013
city	portage	0	0.0000	0.0000	.
age		1	-0.2003	0.2508	0.4245
smoke		1	-0.1284	0.4102	0.7544

Repeated Statement

- We need to tell SAS that we have correlated data (or repeated observations)
- We do this by using the repeated statement

```
proc genmod data=six desc;  
  class case city ;  
  model wheeze = city age smoke / dist=bin;  
  repeated subject=case / type=exch;  
run;
```

Selected Results

You get the same ``model based'' information

Data Set	WORK.SIX
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	Logit
Dependent Variable	wheeze

Number of Observations Read	64
Number of Observations Used	64
Number of Events	19
Number of Trials	64

So...you have to go to the end of the report for the GEE summary

Selected Results

GEE Model Information

Correlation Structure	Exchangeable
Subject Effect	case (16 levels)
Number of Clusters	16
Correlation Matrix Dimension	4
Maximum Cluster Size	4
Minimum Cluster Size	4

Analysis Of GEE Parameter Estimates Empirical Standard Error Estimates

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	95% Confidence Limits		Z	Pr > Z
Intercept	1.2751	3.0561	-4.7148	7.2650	0.42	0.6765
city kingston	0.1223	0.6882	-1.2266	1.4713	0.18	0.8589
city portage	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
age	-0.2036	0.2789	-0.7502	0.3431	-0.73	0.4655
smoke	-0.0935	0.3613	-0.8016	0.6145	-0.26	0.7957

Comparison of Estimates

Parameter	Regular MLE (64 indep obs)		GEE (16 clusters of 4)	
	Estimate	Standard Error	Estimate	Standard Error
Intercept	1.2597	2.6104	1.2751	3.0561
city kingston	0.1391	0.5527	0.1223	0.6882
city portage	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
age	-0.2003	0.2508	-0.2036	0.2789
smoke	-0.1284	0.4102	-0.0935	0.3613

Conclusion: Parameter estimates approximately equal; standard errors wrong under regular MLE

However, for this example, the effect isn't that dramatic (See Kleinbaum & Klein Ch 11 for more dramatic example)

Properties of GEE

- GEE estimates have desirable asymptotic properties
- For correctly specified models and
- As the number of clusters gets large, the estimates are
 1. **Consistent:** $\hat{\beta} \rightarrow \beta$ as $K \rightarrow \infty$
 2. **Asymptotically normal:** $\hat{\beta} \sim$ normal as $K \rightarrow \infty$
- Correctly specified means the correct link and correlation have been specified
- However, GEE is robust to misspecification of the correlation pattern
- The closer the correlation is to the true correlation, the more efficient (smaller standard errors)

Model Testing

- Gone are the likelihood based methods
- We have not specified our likelihood and are using quasi-likelihood
- Recall from the GLM slides, we formulated the score equations
- With GEE, we are using “score like” equations since we have not fully specified the likelihood (we’ve only specified the variance (based on the binomial) and the correlation of the outcomes
- We can compute “score like” and Wald tests

Other forms of correlated data

- Correlated data also arises in survey research
- This follows a cluster sampling approach
- Randomly select clusters (e.g., families) and survey family members
- Need to take this clustering into account in the analysis
- You can use SUDAAN, GEE or new SAS procedures (SURVEYFREQ, SURVEYLOGISTIC, etc)